

0040-4020(94)E0181-R

# Regio- and Stereoselective Synthesis of (E)-2-Methyl-1-Alkenyltrimethylstannanes from 1-Alkynes

### Fabio Bellina\*, Adriano Carpita\*, Elisabetta Adorni Fontana and Renzo Rossi

Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale -- University of Pisa -- Via Risorgimento 35, I-56126 Pisa, Italy

Abstract: Several procedures, which are based on the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of 1-alkynes (5), have been tested for the regio- and stereoselective synthesis of (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyltrimethylstannanes (4). The best one among these procedures as regards simplicity and mildness is that based on the water-accelerated methylalumination of compounds 5, followed by treatment with a THF solution of Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl. This procedure allows the preparation of (E)-2-aryl-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes, (E)-2-benzyl-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes and (E)-2-(1-cycloalkenyl)-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes in quite high yields. On the other hand, (E)-2-alkyl-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes having high regio- and stereoisomeric purities have been effectively and conveniently synthetized by a reaction sequence involving the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of compounds 5 according to a standard protocol and the conversion of the alkenyldimethylalanes (7) so obtained into the corresponding methylalanates (10), followed by treatment with a THF solution of Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl.

Stereodefined 2-substituted ethenylstannanes of general formula 1 are intermediates widely used in organic synthesis<sup>1</sup>. They serve as stable stereochemically defined 1-alkenyl units that may be used for carbon-carbon bond forming reactions via Pd<sup>2</sup>, Li<sup>3</sup>, and Cu<sup>4</sup> chemistry.



Several methods for the preparation of compounds 1 have been developed<sup>5-18</sup>. On the contrary, much less attention has been paid to the synthesis of stereodefined 2-substituted 1-alkenylstannanes. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, only one general method for the preparation of these compounds has been reported<sup>12</sup>, which involves the reaction between 1-alkynes and Bu<sub>3</sub>SnMgCH<sub>3</sub>, in the presence of CuCN, followed by treatment with electrophiles. However, this method, which has been used to prepare an (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenylstannane<sup>12</sup>, is quite complicated and in our hands afforded 2-substituted 1-alkenylstannanes contaminated by not negligble amounts of undesired isomers. Moreover, it must be mentioned that crude (Z)-3-t-butyldimethylsilyloxy-2-methyl-1-propenyl-trimethylstannane (**3b**) has been recently synthesized by a

long reaction sequence involving the conversion of propargyl alcohol (2) into (Z)-1-iodo-3-tbutyldimethylsilyloxy-2-methyl-1-propene (3a) and treatment of this iodide with 2 equiv of butyllithium in THF at -78°C, followed by reaction with an Et<sub>2</sub>O solution of 2 equiv of Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl<sup>19</sup>.

As a consequence of several ongoing synthetic projects in our laboratory, we had need for a simple synthetic method which permitted the preparation of gram quantities of (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyl-trimethylstannanes of general formula 4 having very high stereo- and regioisomeric purities, where R is an alkyl, aryl, benzyl, 1-cycloalkenyl or a functionalized alkyl group. In this paper we describe new simple entries into these compounds, which are based on the zirconium-catalyzed carboalumination of 1-alkynes<sup>20</sup>.



#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

At least in principle, compounds 4 could be synthetized by methylcupration of 1-alkynes (5), followed by treatment of the (*E*)-2-methyl-1-alkenylcopper species so obtained with an HMPA solution of chlorotributylstannane. However, we take into account that lithium dimethylcuprate is not able to transfer a methyl group to 1-alkynes to give the corresponding (*E*)-2-methyl-1-alkenylcopper derivatives<sup>21</sup> and that similar organometallic species could be only obtained either by reaction with a very large excess of the homocuprate (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CuMgCl·LiBr with 1-alkynes<sup>21</sup>, or by a very slow reaction between the complex CH<sub>3</sub>Cu·Me<sub>2</sub>S·MgBr<sub>2</sub> and 1-alkynes in a mixture of Et<sub>2</sub>O and Me<sub>2</sub>S at -25 °C<sup>22</sup>. Therefore, because of the shortcomings of these two procedures, we preferred to develop a different synthetic method for compounds 4, which was based on the methylalumination of compounds 5 with trimethylalane (6), in the presence of zirconocene dichloride<sup>20,23</sup> [eq. (1)].

$$R = = -H + AIMe_{3} \xrightarrow{Cp_{2}ZrCl_{2}} R \xrightarrow{CH_{3}} AIMe_{2}$$

$$5a-f \qquad 6$$

$$a: R = n-C_{4}H_{9} \quad d: R = TBDMSO(CH_{2})_{3}$$

$$b: R = n-C_{6}H_{13} \quad e: R = 1-cyclohexenyl$$

$$c: R = Ph \qquad f: R = 4-F-C_{6}H_{4}CH_{2}$$

$$(1)$$

**.**...

The 1-alkynes used in this study were: 1-hexyne (5a), 1-octyne (5b), phenylacetylene (5c), 5-tbutyldimethylsilyloxy-1-pentyne (5d), 1-ethynylcyclohexene (5e) and 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-propyne (5f).

Four different procedures (methods A-D) were employed to convert compounds 5 into the

corresponding (*E*)-2-methyl-1-alkenyldimethylalanes (7) (Table 1). Methods A, B and C, which were used for the preparation of compounds **7a**, **7d** and **7a-e**, respectively, involved the methylalumination of the corresponding 1-alkynes by the procedure described by Negishi<sup>20b-d, 23</sup> and differred from one another in the 1-alkyne/zirconocene dichloride/AlMe<sub>3</sub> molar ratio. In fact, this was 1.0 : 1.0 : 2.0, 1.0 : 0.51 : 2.0 and 1.0 : 0.32 : 2.78 for the methods A, B and C, respectively. On the other hand, method D, which was employed for the preparation of compounds **7b**, **7c**, **7e** and **7f**, involved the methylalumination of the corresponding 1alkynes in the presence of water<sup>24</sup> (entries 8 - 11, Table 1). In particular, to a 2 M hexane solution of 3.1 equiv of Me<sub>3</sub>Al and 0.22 equiv of Cp<sub>2</sub>ZrCl<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> cooled to -23 °C were slowly added 1.55 equiv of water. After stirring for 10 min at -23 °C, a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution of 1.0 equiv of compound **5** was added and the mixture was further stirred . The acceleration by water of the carboalumination of compounds **5b**, **5c**, **5e** and **5f** was impressive. In fact, compounds **7b**, **7e** and **7f** were obtained in quantitative yields after 0.5 h at -23 °C and also compound **7c**, which had been obtained in 95.5 % yield after 161 h using method C, was obtained in quantitative yield after 4.5 h. Moreover, the regioisomeric purities of these alanes were comparable or higher than those obtained using the standard protocols (methods A - C).

Entry		1-Alkyne	Method <sup>a)</sup>	Reaction time / Temperature	Product	Yield	Regioisom. purity
	5	н		(h/°C)	7	(%) */	(%)
1	5a	<i>n</i> -C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub>	A	21/20	7a	100	96
2	5a	n-C₄H <sub>9</sub>	С	22/20	7a	100	96
3	5d	TBDMSO(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	В	7/20	7d	97	93
4	5 b	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	С	16/20	7 b	100	96
5	5c	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	С	161/20	7c	96	96
6	5d	TBDMSO(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	С	41/20	7 d	98	94
7	5e	1-cyclohexenyl	С	40/20	7e	98	96
8	5 b	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	D	0.5/-23	7 b	100	97
9	5c	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	D	4.5/-23	7 c	100	98
10	5e	1-cyclohexenyl	D	0.5/-23	7 e	100	97
11	5f	4-F-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	D	0.5/-23	7 f	100	98

<u>Table 1</u>. Zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of 1-alkynes (5)

<sup>a)</sup> <u>Method A</u>: 1.0 equiv of 5, 1.0 equiv of  $Cp_2ZrCl_2$  and 2.0 Equiv of  $Me_3Al$  in  $ClCH_2CH_2Cl$  at room temperature; <u>Method B</u>: 1.0 equiv of 5, 0.51 equiv of  $Cp_2ZrCl_2$  and 2.0 equiv of  $Me_3Al$  in  $ClCH_2CH_2Cl$  at room temperature; <u>Method C</u>: 1.0 equiv of 5, 0.32 equiv of  $Cp_2ZrCl_2$  and 2.78 equiv of  $Me_3Al$  in  $ClCH_2CH_2Cl$  at room temperature; <u>Method C</u>: 1.0 equiv of 5, 0.32 equiv of  $Cp_2ZrCl_2$  and 2.78 equiv of  $Me_3Al$  in  $ClCH_2CH_2Cl$  at room temperature; <u>Method C</u>: 1.0 equiv of 5, 0.32 equiv of  $Cp_2ZrCl_2$  and 2.78 equiv of  $Me_3Al$  in  $ClCH_2Cl_2$  at room temperature; <u>Method C</u>: 1.0 equiv of 1.0 equiv of 5, 0.22 equiv of  $Cp_2ZrCl_2$ , 3.1 equiv of  $Me_3Al$  and 1.55 equiv of  $H_2O$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at -23 °C (for details, see: Experimental).

Several procedures (methods F - L) were then tested to convert organoalanes 7a-f so prepared into the

corresponding (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyltrimethylstannanes 4a-f [eq. (2)].

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} CH_{3} \\ H \\ H \\ 7a-g \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{Methods E-L} \\ 7a-g \\ 8a : R^{1} = Me \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} CH_{3} \\ SnR^{1}_{3} \\ H \\ H \\ Sa : R^{1} = Me \end{array}$$

$$(2)$$

The results obtained using these different protocols as well as that obtained in an attempt to prepare (E)-2-methyl-1-hexenyltributylstannane (8a) from the corresponding organoalane 7a are summarized in Table 2.

A preliminary experiment involving (E)-2-methyl-1-octenyldimethylalane (7d) was carried out using a protocol similar to that reported for the direct transmetallation of (E)-1-alkenyldiisobutylalanes with  $Me_3SnCl^{25}$  (method E). Thus, the reaction mixture, which derived from the methylalumination of 5d according to method B, was concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue, which was diluted with toluene, hexane and THF was treated at -23 °C with a THF solution of 3.2 equiv of LiCl and 3.2 equiv of Bu<sub>3</sub>SnCl and stirred for 30 h at 20 °C and for 4 h at 50 °C (entry 1, Table 2). However, this reaction did not provide compound **8a**, but gave methyltributylstannane (9) in 65 % yield.



A quite unsatisfactory result was also obtained when the reaction mixture, which derived from the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of **5b** according to method C, was concentrated *in vacuo*, the residue was cooled to -60 °C, diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, treated at -23 °C with 3.0 equiv of Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl in THF solution and the mixture was stirred for 21 h at -23 °C, for 23 h at room temperature and for 24 h under reflux (method F). In fact, this reaction gave the desired organostannane **4b** in 28 % GLC yield (entry 2, Table 2).

On the other hand, a significative improvement of the yield of the transmetallation reaction was obtained when alkenylalanes 5 were converted into the corresponding alkenylmethylalanates 10 prior reaction with  $Me_3SnCl$  [eq. (3)] (entries 5 - 8, Table 2).

In fact, when the reaction mixtures, which derived from the carboalumination of **5b**, **5c**, **5d** and **5e** according to method C, were concentrated *in vacuo*, cooled to -78 °C, diluted with THF and treated with 1.1 equiv of methyllithium in Et<sub>2</sub>O and the alanates so obtained were reacted with 2.53 equiv of Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl in THF

<u>T a b l e 2</u>. Synthesis of (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyltrialkylstannanes 4a-f and 8a from the corresponding (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyldimethylalanes 7a-f

Fatra		Alane	Method for	Method for	Reaction time /	Dendinge	P10:7	Regioisom.	Stereoisom.
	7	Я	uic picpii. of 7	of 4 or 8 $a^{(j)}$	$(h/^{\circ}C)$	FIOUUCI	$(\mathcal{X})^{(p)}$	(%)	punty (%)
Ι	7 d	TBDMSO(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	8	Ш	30/20; 4/50	CH <sub>3</sub> SnBu <sub>3</sub> (9)	65	-	
7	7b	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	ပ	ш	21/-23; 24/20; 24/65	4b	28 <sup>c)</sup>	16	66
ŝ	7a	n-C₄H <sub>9</sub>	۷	( <sub>p</sub> H	2/-78 to 0; 15.5/20	8a <sup>e)</sup>	14	n.d.	n.d.
4	7a	n-C₄H <sub>9</sub>	ပ	( <i>f</i>	0.5/-78; 2/-78 to 20; 63.5/20	<b>4</b> a <sup>8)</sup>	51	66	66
S	7 b	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	v	ശ	0.25/-78; 1/-78 to 0; 39/65	4 <b>b</b>	70	67	6
9	7 c	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	v	U	0.66/-78 to 0; 16/65	4 c	54	66<	82
2	7d	TBDMSO(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	ပ	g	0.5/-78; 0.5/-78 to 20; 23/65	4 d	61	>95	>95
∞	7 e	1-cyclohexenyl	ပ	U	2/-30 to 20; 15/65	4e	25	100	80
6	7 b	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	U	( <i>f</i>	0.5/-78; 21.5/-78 to 0	4 b <sup>h)</sup>	17	86	> 99
10	7 b	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	ပ	(i –	1/-78; 2/-78 to 0; 125/20; 5/40	4b		I	1
11	7 b	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	۵	_	90.5/-23; 7.5/0; 17/20; 47/0	4b	<b>8</b>	95	>99
12	7с	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	۵		46/-23 to 0; 75/20; 16/0	4 c	83	66 <	100
13	7e	1-cyclohexenyl	٥		19/-23; 15/20; 53/0	4e	57	100	98
14	7f	4-F-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	D		15/-23; 8/20; 22/0	4 f	74	66<	- 99
a) Chloro	trimeth	yltin was used as electrop $IC$ vield $\frac{d}{D}$ Reaction can	hile unless other rried out using a	wise noted. For the THF solution of	he description of methods E - L, see: E. Bu. Sn1 ° Methodrameter (9)	(xperimental. <sup>b)</sup> Isc was also obtained	blated yields	based on the alk	yne unless other-

(E)-2-Methyl-1-alkenyltrimethylstannanes

a THF solution of Me<sub>3</sub>Snl.<sup>8</sup>) The crude reaction mixture contained 2a and 4-iodo-1-butanol (12) in ca. 5.9:1 molar ratio, respectively.<sup>4</sup>) Compound 4b was obtained together

with iodoalcohol 12, which was isolated in 17 % yield. <sup>i)</sup> Reaction carried out using an Et<sub>2</sub>O solution of Me<sub>3</sub>SnI.

solution for 15-39 h under reflux (method G), compounds 4b, 4c, 4d and 4e were obtained in 70, 54, 61 and 64 % isolated yields, respectively.

The improvement of the yields was not surprising, since it has been previously reported that the reactivity of alkenylalanes towards electrophiles is enhanced by their conversion into the corresponding alkenylalanates<sup>26</sup>. On the other hand, the fact that compounds 4b, 4c, 4d and 4e had regioisomeric purities higher than those of the corresponding alkenylalanes 7b, 7c, 7d and 7e, respectively, could be explained on the basis of the expected higher reactivity of these last compounds in comparison with that of their more hindered regioisomers 11b, 11c, 11d and 11e, respectively.

AlMe<sub>2</sub>  

$$R \xrightarrow{CH_3}$$
 I-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>OH  
11b : R = n-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub> 11d : R = TBDMSO(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> 12  
11c : R = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> 11e : R = 1-cyclohexenyl

On the contrary, quite surprising was the fact that, whereas compounds 4b and 4d had stereoisomeric purities higher than 95 %, compounds 4c and 4e were 82 and 80 % stereoisomerically pure.

We also attempted to improve the yields of method G using iodotrialkylstannanes instead of the corresponding chlorotrialkylstannanes. Nevertheless, the results obtained using this new procedure (method H) were not completely satisfactory. In fact, when a THF solution of alanate **10a** ( $\mathbf{R} = n$ -Bu) was treated at -78 °C with 1.5 equiv of Bu<sub>3</sub>SnI in THF solution and the mixture was warmed up to 0 °C for 2.5 h and then stirred at room temperature for 15.5 h, a crude reaction mixture containing methyltributylstannane (**9**), (*E*)-2-methyl-1-hexenyltributylstannane (**8a**) and unreacted Bu<sub>3</sub>SnI was obtained. This mixture was then treated with a large excess of a semisaturated aqueous KF solution, filtered, extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O and the organic extract was purified by MPLC on silica gel to give compounds **9** and **8a** in 45 and 14 % isolated yields, respectively (entry 3, Table 2). On the other hand, when alanate **10a** prepared from **5a** according to method C was reacted with a THF solution of 1.67 equiv of Me<sub>3</sub>SnI (method I) using reaction conditions similar to those employed for entry 3, a crude reaction mixture containing (*E*)-2-methyl-1-hexenyltrimethylstannane (**4a**) and 4-iodo-1-butanol (**12**) in a *ca*. 5.9 : 1 molar ratio, respectively, was obtained. Purification of this mixture by distillation allowed to isolate compound **4a** in 51 % yield (entry 4, Table 2).

Unfortunately, when a similar procedure involving the use of 2.53 equiv of  $Me_3SnI$  was employed for the synthesis of (*E*)-2-methyl-1-octenyltrimethylstannane (4b), compounds 4b and 12 were obtained in quite low yields (entry 9, Table 2).

It must be noted that compound 12 did not derive from a direct reaction between  $Me_3SnI$  and THF. In fact, a THF solution of this organostannane proved to be stable when maintained for 56 h at room temperature. However, since compound 12 very probably derived from a ring-opening reaction of THF or a its complex during the reaction which afforded compound 4b, in the hopes of improving the yield of this last compound and in order to prevent the formation of 12 we carried out the transmetalation between compound 8b and  $Me_3SnI$  in  $Et_2O$  solution. Nevertheless, under these reaction conditions compound 10b was proved to be

unable to react with Me<sub>3</sub>SnI also using very long reaction times (entry 10, Table 2).

Finally, we developed a simpler and quite efficient protocol to convert (E)-2-methyl-1alkenyldimethylalanes 7 into the corresponding organostannanes 4 which avoided the transformation of compounds 7 into the corresponding alanates 10 and afforded compounds 4 having regioisomeric purities which ranged from 95.0 to 100 % and stereoisomeric purities which ranged from 98 to 100 %. This protocol (method L) involved treatment of the reaction mixtures, which derived from the carboalumination of the 1alkynes 5 according to method D, with a THF solution of 2.5 equiv of Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl at -23 °C and stirring the resulting reaction mixtures at temperatures which ranged from 0 to 20 °C (entries 11-14, Table 2). Purification by MPLC on reversed - phase of the crude reaction products, which were obtained starting from 1-alkynes 5b, 5c, 5e and 5f, allowed to isolate compounds 4b, 4c, 4e and 4f in 48, 83, 57 and 74 % yields, respectively.

#### CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, various procedures have been tested for the stereoselective synthesis of compounds 4 from the corresponding 1-alkynes 5. The best one as regards simplicity and mildness as well as the stereoisomeric purities of compounds 4 is that based on the water-accelerated methylalumination of compounds 5, followed by treatment with a THF solution of  $Me_3SnCl$ . Such method is especially well suited for the preparation of (E)-2-aryl-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes, (E)-2-benzyl-2-methylethenyl-trimethylstannanes and (E)-2-(1-cycloalkenyl)-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes. On the other hand, (E)-2-alkyl-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes can be prepared in quite high yields and high regio- and stereoisomeric purities by a reaction sequence involving the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of the corresponding 1-alkynes according to a standard method (method C) and the conversion of Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl.

Applications of these procedures to the synthesis of some bioactive naturally-occurring products will be reported in due course.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

All boiling points are uncorrected. Precoated silica gel plates Merck F-254 and RP-18  $F_{254}$ S were used for TLC analyses. GLC analyses were performed on a Dani 6500 gas-chromatograph with a PTV injector and equipped with a Dani data station 86.01. Two types of capillary columns were used: a SE-30 bonded FSOT column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d.) and a AT-35 bonded FSOT column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d.). Purifications by MPLC were performed on a Büchi instrument, using a Bischoff 8100 differential refractometer as detector. GLC/MS analyses were performed using a Q-mass 910 spectrometer interfaced with a Perkin-Elmer 8500 gaschromatograph. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 200 MHz spectrometer using TMS as an internal standard.

All air and water sensitive reactions were performed in flame dried glassware under an atmosphere of

argon or nitrogen. Air and water sensitive solutions were transferred with hypodermic syringes or double ended needles. Solvents were dried and distilled before use.

1-Hexyne (5a), 1-octyne (5b), phenylacetylene (5c), 1-ethynylcyclohexene (5e), and zirconocene dichloride were commercially available. 5-t-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-1-pentyne (5d) [b.p. 83-4 °C/13 Torr; lit<sup>27</sup> b.p. 65 °C/9 Torr] was synthetized in 87 % yield according to the literature<sup>27</sup> starting from commercially available 4-pentyn-1-ol. 3-(4'-Fluorophenyl)-1-propyne (5f) [b.p. 52 °C/52 Torr] was synthetized in 68 % overall yield starting from 4-fluorobenzyl chloride according to a general procedure for the synthesis of 3- (hetero)aryl-1-propynes<sup>28</sup>. The physical and spectral properties of this compound were in very good agreement with those previously reported<sup>28</sup>.

## Procedures for the synthesis of (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyldimethylalanes 7a-f

Four different protocols (methods A - D) were used for the preparation of the title compounds. The results obtained are summarized in Table 1.

Method A. To a stirred suspension of  $Cp_2ZrCl_2$  (5.85 g, 20.0 mmol) in dry 1,2-dichloroethane (47 ml) was added a 2 M hexane solution of Me<sub>3</sub>Al (20 ml, 40 mmol) under argon at room temperature. After few minutes a solution of an alkyne 5 (20.0 mmol) in dry 1,2-dichloroethane (30 ml) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for the period of time reported in Table 1. The reaction was periodically monitored by GLC/MS of its samples hydrolyzed with a dilute and cold aqueous HCl solution under argon.

*Method B.* This method, which was used for the preparation of compound 7d (entry 3, Table 1), was very similar to method A, but the  $5/Cp_2ZrCl_2/AlMe_3$  molar ratio was 1:0.51:2.0 instead of 1.0:1.0:2.0. Compound 7d, which was so obtained in 97 % yield after 7 h at 20 °C (as evaluated by GLC/MS analysis of an hydrolyzed sample of the reaction mixture), had 93 % regioisomeric purity.

Method C. This method, which was used for the preparation of compounds 7a, 7b, 7c, 7d, and 7e, was very similar to method A, but the  $5/Cp_2ZrCl_2/AlMe_3$  molar ratio was 1:0.32:2.78. Moreover, AlMe<sub>3</sub> and 5 were sequentially added to a suspension of  $Cp_2ZrCl_2$  in 1,2 dichloroethane maintained at 0 °C. The resulting solution was then stirred at room temperature for the period of time reported in Table 1. The yields and regioisomeric purities of compounds 7a-c are also reported in this table (entries 4-7).

Method D. A 2 M hexane solution of AlMe<sub>3</sub> (12.4 ml, 24.8 mmol) was added to a stirred suspension of Cp<sub>2</sub>ZrCl<sub>2</sub> (0.51 g, 1.76 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 ml) cooled to 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes and then cooled to -23 °C. Water (0.22 ml, 12.4 mmol) was cautiously added under vigorous stirring. After 10 minutes a solution of the 1-alkyne (8.0 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (13 ml) was slowly added and the mixture was stirred at -23 °C for the period of time reported in Table 1. Compounds 7b, 7c, 7e and 7f were so obtained in quantitative yields. They had 97, 98, 97 and 98 % regioisomeric purities, respectively (entries 8 - 11, Table 1).

## Procedures for the preparation of (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyltrialkylstannanes of general formula 4 and 8

Six different protocols (methods E - L) were tested for the preparation of the title compounds.

Method E. This method, which was used in an attempt to prepare (E)-5-t-butyldimethylsilyloxy-2methyl-1-pentenyltributylstannane (8d) (entry 1, Table 2), involved removal *in vacuo* of the solvent of the reaction mixture obtained in the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of 5-t-butyldimethylsilyloxy-1pentyne (5d) (4.16 g, 21.0 mmol) according to method B. The residue was diluted with toluene (42 ml), hexane (84 ml) and THF (84 ml) and the resulting mixture was cooled to -23 °C. A solution of Bu<sub>3</sub>SnCl (21.59 g, 66.3 mmol) and LiCl (2.81 g, 66.3 mmol) in THF (72 ml) was dropwise added and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 30 h at 20 °C and for 4 h at 50 °C. After this period the reaction mixture, which had been periodically monitored by GLC analysis, was quenched into a cold solution of saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The organic extract was washed with a saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution, dried, filtered on Celite and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by MPLC on silica gel using hexane as eluant to give methyltributylstannane (9) (13.16 g, 65 % yield).

Method F. This method was used for the preparation of (E)-2-methyl-1-octenyltrimethylstannane (4b) (entry 2, Table 2). In particular, the reaction mixture, which was obtained by the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of 1-octyne (5b) (1.32 g, 12.0 mmol) according to method C, was concentrated *in vacuo* to remove the solvent as well as unreacted Me<sub>3</sub>Al. The residue was cooled at -60 °C and then diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (75 ml) and the mixture was warmed up to -23 °C. A solution of Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl (7.17 g, 36.0 mmol) in THF (36 ml) was added and the mixture was stirred for 21 h at -23 °C, 24 h at 20 °C and for 24 h under reflux. It was then cooled to room temperature, slowly added to a large excess of a 10 % aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution cooled to -10 °C and extracted with hexane. The organic extract was filtered, washed with a 10 % aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution, dried, filtered and concentrated at reduced pressure. GLC/MS analysis of the residue showed the presence of a new compound, which was subsequently identified as 4b by comparison with an authentic sample of this substance prepared according to method L. Compound 4b was obtained in 28 % GLC yield.

Method G. This method was used for the preparation of compounds 4b, 4c, 4d and 4e (entries 5-8, Table 2). Thus, the reaction mixtures, which derived from the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of compounds 5b, 5c, 5d and 5e (23.0 mmol) according to method C, were concentrated *in vacuo* and the residues were cooled to -78 °C, diluted with THF (70 ml) and stirred for 10 minutes. A 1.93 M Et<sub>2</sub>O solution of methyllithium (13.1 ml, 25.3 mmol) was dropwise added to the yellow solutions so obtained, which were maintained at 0 °C. The resulting mixtures were stirred for 10 minutes at this temperature, for 3 h at room temperature and then cooled to -78 °C. A solution of Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl (11.6 g, 58.2 mmol) in THF (56 ml) was added dropwise and the mixtures were stirred at the temperatures and for the period of time reported in Table 2 (entries 5-8). They were then cooled to room temperature and slowly added to a large excess of a 10 % aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution cooled to -10 °C. The mixtures were concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue obtained in the preparation of compound 4b starting from 5b was purified by fractional distillation, but those obtained in the

. .

preparations of compounds 4c, 4d and 4e were purified by MPLC on LiChroprep RP-18 (25-40  $\mu$ m) using acetonitrile as eluant. Yields and regio- and stereoisomeric purities of compounds 4b, 4c, 4d and 4e so obtained are reported in Table 2. The regio- and stereoisomeric purities were evaluated by GLC/MS analysis and were confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.

Method H. This method was employed for the synthesis of (E)-2-mehyl-1-hexenyltributylstannane (8a) (entry 3, Table 2). In particular, the reaction mixture, which derived from the methylalumination of 5a (1.64 g, 20.0 mmol) according to method A, was concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue was cooled to -78 °C and diluted with THF (60 ml). A 1.72 M Et<sub>2</sub>O solution of methyllithium (11.6 ml, 20.0 mmol) was dropwise added to the solution so obtained, which was maintained at 0 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature and then cooled to -78 °C. A solution of Bu<sub>3</sub>SnI (12.51 g, 30.0 mmol) in THF (30 ml) was dropwise added and the mixture was warmed up to 0 °C within 2.5 h and then stirred at room temperature for 15.5 h. After this period the mixture was cooled to 0 °C, cautiously quenched with a large excess of a saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The organic extract was filtered on Celite, washed with a saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and water, dried filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue, which was analyzed by GLC/MS, was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (115 ml) and stirred with a large excess of a semisaturated aqueous KF solution (225 ml) for 2.5 h. The mixture was purified by MPLC on LiChroprep RP-18 (25-40 µm), using a mixture of acetonitrile and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (9 : 1 v/v) as eluant, to give compound 8a (1.08 g, 14 % yield).

Method I. This method, which was used for the preparation of compounds 4a and 4b (entries 4 and 9, Table 2), was quite similar to method H, but Me<sub>3</sub>SnI (1.67 equiv) was used as stannylating agent. In particular, the reaction mixtures, which derived from the methylalumination of compounds 5a and 5b (25.0 mmol) according to method C, were concentrated in vacuo and the residues were cooled to -78 °C and diluted with THF (75 ml). A 1.89 M Et<sub>2</sub>O solution of methyllithium (13.2 ml, 25.0 mmol) was added to the solutions so obtained, which were maintained at 0 °C, and the resulting mixtures were stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then cooled to -78 °C. A solution of Me<sub>3</sub>SnI (12.12 g, 41.7 mmol) in THF (40 ml) was dropwise added and the mixtures were stirred at the temperature and for the period of time reported in Table 2. They were then cooled to -30 °C and quenched with methanol (5 ml) and subsequently treated with a solution of saturated  $NH_4Cl/NH_4OH$  (9:1 v/v). The resulting mixtures were extracted with hexane and the organic extracts were washed with water, dried, filtered and concentrated at reduced pressure. GLC/MS analysis of the residues showed that each of them was constituted of the desired organostannane and a compound subsequently identified as 4-iodo-1-butanol (12). In particular, the residue obtained in the preparation of compound 4a, contained compounds 4a and 12 in a 5.9 : 1 molar ratio, respectively. Compound 12, which was identified by comparison with an authentic sample of 4-iodo-1-butanol prepared by reaction of commercially available 4chloro-1-butanol with a molar excess of LiI in acetone solution, was insoluble in crude compounds 4a and 4b and therefore it was easily separated from these organostannanes. Compound 12 was obtained in 17 % yield in the preparation of compound 4b. On the other hand, compounds 4a (3.33 g, 51 % yield) and 4b (1.19 g,

17 % yield) having high regio- and stereoisomeric purities, were obtained by fractional distillation of the residues obtained from the corresponding preparations after their purification from compound 12 (entries 4 and 9, Table 2).

It must be noted that in an attempt to prepare compound 4b by this procedure, but using  $Et_2O$  instead of THF as reaction solvent (entry 10, Table 2), we observed that methylaluminate 10b involved in this preparation was unable to react with Me<sub>3</sub>SnI also using very long reaction times.

Method L. This method was used for the preparation of compounds 4b, 4c, 4e and 4f (entries 11-14, Table 2). In particular, the reaction mixtures, which derived from the methylalumination of compounds 5b, 5c, 5e and 5f (11.0 mmol) according to method D, were maintained under stirring at -23 °C while a solution of Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl (5.48 g, 27.5 mmol) in THF (28 ml) was added dropwise. The resulting mixtures were stirred at the temperature and for the period of time reported in Table 2, then cautiously added to a 10 % aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution (100 ml) cooled to -20 °C, and extracted with hexane. The organic extracts were filtered, washed with 10 % aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution, dried, filtered and concentrated at reduced pressure. The residues, which were analyzed by TLC and GLC/MS, were purified by MPLC on LiChroprep RP-18 (25-40  $\mu$ m) using acetonitrile as eluant. Yields, regio- and stereoisomeric purities of compounds 4b, 4c, 4e and 4f so obtained are reported in Table 2.

Some physical and spectroscopic properties of compounds 4a-f, 8a and 9 prepared by these methods are reported below.

(E)-2-Methyl-1-hexenyltrimethylstannane (4a). B.p. 90-91 °C/15 Torr. MS, m/z (%): 247 (61), 245 (47), 151 (30), 135 (33), 55 (63), 41 (100). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 5.45 (1 H, s, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>Sn-H</sub> = 82 Hz, H-1), 2.12 (2 H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, H-3), 1.63 (3 H, s, =C-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.54 - 1.18 (4 H, m, H-4 and H-5), 0.90 (3 H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, H-6), 0.13 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Sn: C, 46.02 ; H, 8.50. Found: C, 46.58; H, 8.56.

(E)-2-Methyl-1-octenyltrimethylstannane (4b). B.p. 107 °C/5 Torr. MS, m/z (%): 275 (42), 273 (31), 151 (31), 135 (45), 55 (39), 41 (100). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 5.45 (1 H, s, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>Sn-H</sub> = 82 Hz, H-1), 2.11 (2 H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, H-3), 1.76 (3 H, s, =C-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.52 - 1.15 (8 H, m, H-4, H-5, H-6 and H-7), 0.88 (3 H, t, J = 6.5 Hz, H-8), 0.13 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>26</sub>Sn: C, 49.87; H, 9.07. Found: C, 49.69; H, 9.21.

(E)-2-Phenyl-1-propenyltrimethylstannane (4c). MS, m/z (%): 267 (100), 265 (81), 227 (32), 197 (37), 135 (35), 117 (22). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 7.50 -7.10 (5 H, m, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 6.26 (1 H, s, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>Sn-H</sub> = 71 Hz, H-1), 2.22 (3 H, s, H-3), 0.23 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Sn: C, 51.30; H, 6.46. Found: C, 51.69; H, 6.83.

(Z)-2-Phenyl-1-propenyltrimethylstannane (4c): MS, m/z (%): 267 (92), 266 (94), 265 (72), 117 (26), 115 (41), 39 (100). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 7.50 - 7.10 (5 H, m, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 5. 89 (1 H, q, J = 1.3 Hz, H-

1), 2.25 (3 H, d, J = 1.3 Hz, =C-CH<sub>3</sub>), -0.14 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe<sub>3</sub>).

(E)-2-Methyl-5-t-butyldimethylsilyloxy-1-pentenyltrimethylstannane (4d). MS, m/z (%) : 363 (7), 321 (4), 165 (100), 135 (23), 81 (21), 59 (31). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 5.48 (1 H, s,  ${}^{2}J_{Sn-H} = 81$  Hz, H-1), 3.60 (2 H, t, J = 6.6 Hz, H-5), 2.16 (2 H, t, J = 7.5 Hz, H-3), 1.77 (3 H, s, =C-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.81 - 1.48 (2 H, m, H-4), 0.90 (9 H, s, t-Bu), 0.13 (9 H, s, SnMe<sub>3</sub>), 0.05 ppm (6 H, s SiMe<sub>2</sub>). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>34</sub>OSiSn: C, 47.76; H, 9.09. Found: C, 47.49; H, 9.39.

(E)-2-(1'-Cyclohexenyl)-1-propenyltrimethylstannane (4e). MS, m/z (%): 271 (45), 269 (37), 121 (21), 93 (27), 55 (23), 41 (100). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 5.97 - 5.87 (1 H, m, H-2'), 5.88 (1 H, s, H-1), 2.29 - 2.10 (4 H, m, H-3' and H-6'), 1.97 (3 H, s, =C-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.75 - 1.48 (4 H, m, H-4' and H-5'), 0.17 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Sn: C, 50.57; H, 7.78. Found: C, 50.72; H, 8.20.

(E)-2-Methyl-3-(4'-fluorophenyl)-1-propenyltrimethylstannane (4f). MS, m/z (%): 299 (72), 297 (55), 165 (41), 149 (100), 135 (75), 109 (87). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ: 7.20 - 7.05 (2 H, m, H-2' and H-6'), 7.02 - 6.85 (2 H, m, H-3' and H-5'), 5.49 (1 H, s, H-1), 3.39 (2 H, s, H-3), 1.72 (3 H, s, =C-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.14 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FSn: C, 49.89; H, 6.12. Found: C, 49.78; H, 6.56.

(E)-2-Methyl-1-hexenyltributylstannane (8a). MS, m/z (%): 331 (22), 329 (16), 219 (57), 121 (35), 55 (21), 41 (100). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 5.42 (1 H, br s,  ${}^{2}J_{Sn-H} = 75$  Hz, H-1), 2.13 (2 H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-3), 1.74 (3 H, s, =C-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.60 - 1.15 (16 H, m, H-4, H-5, H-2' and H-3'), 0.98 - 0.70 ppm (18 H, m, H-6, H-1' and H-4'). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>40</sub>Sn: C, 58.93; H, 10.41. Found:C, 59.12; H, 10.78.

Methyltributylstannane (9): B.p. 120 °C/10 Torr. MS, m/z (%): 291 (1), 269 (54), 213 (33), 155 (41), 57 (42), 41 (100). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ: 1.67 - 1.17 (12 H, m, H-2' and H-3'), 0.98 - 0.62 (15 H, m, H-1' and H-4'), -0.03 ppm (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>). Lit<sup>29</sup> b.p. 122-124 °C/12 Torr.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>: We thank the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR, Roma), Progetto Finalizzato *Chimica Fine* and the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (MURST) for funding this work.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Pereyre, M.; Quintard, J. -P.; Rahm, A. Tin in Organic Synthesis, Butterworths, London, 1986.
- For reviews, see: a) Stille, J. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 508; b) Mitchell, T. N. Synthesis 1992, 803.
- a) Parrain, J.-L.; Duchene, A.; Quintard, J.-P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1857; b) Yamazaki, S.;
   Hama, M.; Yamabe, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 2917; c) Jarosz, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1193; d) Seyferth, D.; Weiner, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 3583.

- 4. a) Behling, J. R.; Babiak, K. A.; Ng, J. S.; Campbell, A. L.; Moretti, R.; Koerner, M.; Lipshutz, B. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2641; b) Corey, E. J., Uhlrich, P.; Fitzpatrick, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 222.
- 5. Corey, E. J.; Eckrich, T. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2419.
- Barbero, A.; Cuadrado, P.; Fleming, I.; Gonzalez, A. M.; Pulido, F. J. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1992, 351.
- 7. Westmijze, H.; Ruitenberg, K.; Meijer, J.; Vermeer, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2797.
- 8. Seitz, D. E.; Lee, S. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4909.
- 9. Lipshutz, B. H.; Keil, R.; Barton, J. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 5861.
- 10. Corey, E. J.; Eckrich, T. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2415.
- 11. Corriu, R. J. P.; Geng, B.; Moreau, J. J. E. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1443.
- 12. Hibino, J.; Matsubara, S.; Morizawa, Y.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2151.
- 13. Hodgson, D. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 5603.
- 14. Chong, J. M.; Park, S. B. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 523.
- 15. Nonaka, T.; Okuda, Y.; Matsubara, S.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4716.
- 16. Jung, M. E.; Light, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3851.
- 17. Corriu, R. J. P., Bolin, G.; Moreau, J.J.E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 4121.
- 18. Parrain, J.-L.; Beaudet, I.; Duchene, A.; Watrelot, S.; Quintard, J. -P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 5445.
- 19. Han, Q.; Wiemer, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7692.
- For reviews on the carboalumination of 1-alkynes, see: a) Knochel, P. in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I.; Semmelhack, M. F. Eds., Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, vol 4, p. 865; b) Negishi, E.; Takahashi, T. Synthesis 1988, 1; c) Negishi, E. Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 65; d) Negishi, E.; Takahashi, T. Aldrichimica Acta 1985, 18, 31; e) Zfeifel, G.; Miller, J. A. Org. React. 1984, 32, 375; f) Normant, J. F.; Alexakis, A. Synthesis 1981, 841.
- 21. Westmijize, H.; Meijer, J.; Bos, H. J. T.; Vermeer, P. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1976, 95, 304.
- 22. Marfat, A.; Mc Guirk, P. R.; Helquist, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 1363.
- 23. Negishi, E.; Van Horn, D. E.; Yoshida, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6639.
- 24. Wipf, P.; Lim, S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1068.
- 25. Groh, B. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7647.
- 26. a) Zweifel, G.; Lynd, R. A. Synthesis 1976, 625; b) Kobayashi, M.; Valente, L. F.; Negishi, E.

Synthesis 1980, 1034; c) Rossi, R.; Carpita, A.; Bellina, F.; De Santis, M.; Veracini, C. A. Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1991, 120, 457; d) Sharma, S.; Ochlschlager, A. C. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5064; e) Groh, B. L.; Kreager, A. K.; Schneider, J. B. Synth. Commun. 1991, 21, 2065.

- 27. Lin, C. H.; Alexander, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 615.
- 28. Rossi, R.; Carpita, A.; Lippolis, V.; Benetti, M. Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1990, 120, 783.
- 29. Ingham, R. K.; Rosenberg, S. D.; Gilman, H. Chem. Rev. 1960, 50, 459.

(Received in UK 10 January 1994; revised 18 February 1994; accepted 25 February 1994)