

0040-4020(94)E0 18 1 -R

Regio- and Stereoselective Synthesis of (E)-2-Methyl-l-Alkenyltrimethylstannanes from 1-Alkynes

Fabio Bellina^{*}, Adriano Carpita^{*}, Elisabetta Adorni Fontana and Renzo Rossi

Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriate - University of Pisa - Via Risorgimento 35, I-56126 Piss, Italy

Abstract: Several procedures, which are based on the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of 1-alkynes (5), **have been tested for the regio- and stereoselective synthesis of (E)-2-methyl-l-akenyltrimethylstannanes (4). The best one among these procedures as regards simplicity and mildness is that based on the water-accelerated** methylalumination of compounds 5, followed by treatment with a THF solution of Me₂SnCl. This procedure allows the preparation of (E)-2-aryl-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes, (E)-2-benzyl-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes and (E)-2-(1-cycloalkenyl)-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes in quite high yields. On the other hand, (E)-2-alkyl-**2-methylethenyhrimethylstannanes having high regio- and stereoisomeric** purities have been effectively and conveniently synthetized by a reaction sequence involving the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of compounds 5 according to a standard protocol and the conversion of the alkenyldimethytalanes (7) so **obtained into the** corresponding methylalanates (10), followed by treatment with a THF solution of Me₃SnCl.

Stereodefined 2-substituted ethenylstannanes of general formula **1 are** intermediates widely used in organic synthesis¹. They serve as stable stereochemically defined 1-alkenyl units that may be used for carbon-carbon bond forming reactions via Pd^2 , Li³, and Cu⁴ chemistry.

$$
\begin{array}{c}Z \text{ or } E \\ \text{R}-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-\text{SnR}^1_3 \\ \textbf{1}\end{array}
$$

Several methods for the preparation of compounds 1 have been developed⁵⁻¹⁸. On the contrary, much less attention has been paid to the synthesis of stereodefined 2-substituted 1-alkenylstannanes. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, only one general method for the preparation of these compounds has been reported l^2 , which involves the reaction between 1-alkynes and $Bu_3SnMgCH_3$, in the presence of CuCN, followed by treatment with electrophiles. However, this method, which has been used to prepare an (E) -2-methyl-1alkenvlstannane¹², is quite complicated and in our hands afforded 2-substituted 1-alkenylstannanes contaminated by not negligble amounts of undesired isomers. Moreover, it must be mentioned that crude (Z)- 3-t-butyldimethylsilyloxy-2-methyl-1-propenyl-trimethylstannane **(3b)** has been recently synthesized by a long reaction sequence involving the conversion of propargyl alcohol (2) into (Z) -1-iodo-3-tbutyldimethylsilyloxy-2-methyl-1-propene **(3a)** and treatment of this iodide with 2 equiv of butyllithium in THF at -78°C, followed by reaction with an Et₂O solution of 2 equiv of Me₃SnCl¹⁹.

As a consequence of several ongoing synthetic projects in our laboratory, we had need for a simple synthetic method which permitted the preparation of gram quantities of (E) -2-methyl-1-alkenyltrimethylstannanes of general formula 4 having very high stereo- and regioisomeric purities, where R is an alkyl, aryl, benzyl, 1-cycloalkenyl or a functionalized alkyl group. In this paper we describe new simple entries into these compounds, which are based on the zirconium-catalyzed carboalumination of 1-alkynes²⁰.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

At least in principle, compounds 4 could be synthetized by methylcupration of I-alkynes (5), followed by treatment of the (E) -2-methyl-1-alkenylcopper species so obtained with an HMPA solution of chlorotributylstannane. However, we take into account that lithium dimethylcuprate is not able to transfer a methyl group to 1-alkynes to give the corresponding (E) -2-methyl-1-alkenylcopper derivatives²¹ and that similar organometallic species could be only obtained either by reaction with a very large excess of the homocuprate (CH₃)₂CuMgCl¹-LiBr with 1-alkynes²¹, or by a very slow reaction between the complex CH₃Cu·Me₂S·MgBr₂ and 1-alkynes in a mixture of Et₂O and Me₂S at -25 °C²². Therefore, because of the shortcomings of these two procedures, we preferred to develop a different synthetic method for compounds 4, which was based on the methylalumination of compounds 5 with trimethylalane (6), in the presence of zirconocene dichloride^{20,23} [eq. (1)].

$$
R = -H + AlMe3 \xrightarrow{\text{Cp}_2ZrCl_2} R \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_3} AlMe2 \xrightarrow{\text{H} \to \text{CH}_3} (1)
$$

\n5a-f
\n6
\na: $R = n-C_4H_9$ d: $R = TBDMSO(CH_2)_3$
\nb: $R = n-C_6H_{13}$ e: $R = 1$ -cyclohexeny
\nc: $R = Ph$ f: $R = 4$ -F-C₆H₄CH₂

...

The 1-alkynes used in this study were: 1-hexyne (5a), 1-octyne (5b), phenylacetylene (5c), 5-tbutyldimethylsilyloxy-1-pentyne **(5d),** 1-ethynylcyclohexene (5e) and 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-propyne (5f).

Four different procedures (methods A - D) were employed to convert compounds 5 into the

corresponding (E) -2-methyl-1-alkenyldimethylalanes (7) (Table 1). Methods A, B and C, which were used for the preparation of compounds **7a, 7d** and 7a-e, respectively, involved the methylalumination of the corresponding 1-alkynes by the procedure described by Negish i^{20b-d} , 23 and differred from one another in the 1-alkyne/zirconocene dichloride/AlMe₃ molar ratio. In fact, this was $1.0 : 1.0 : 2.0, 1.0 : 0.51 : 2.0$ and $1.0 :$ 0.32 : 2.78 for the methods A, B and C, respectively. On the other hand, method D, which was employed for the preparation of compounds **7b,** 7c, 7e and **7f,** involved the methylalumination of the corresponding lalkynes in the presence of water²⁴ (entries 8 - 11, Table 1). In particular, to a 2 M hexane solution of 3.1 equiv of Me₃Al and 0.22 equiv of Cp₂ZrCl₂ in CH₂Cl₂ cooled to -23 °C were slowly added 1.55 equiv of water. After stirring for 10 min at -23 $^{\circ}$ C, a CH₂Cl₂ solution of 1.0 equiv of compound 5 was added and the mixture was further stirred. The acceleration by water of the carboalumination of compounds **5b, 5c, 5e** and **5f** was impressive. In fact, compounds 7b, 7e and **7f were** obtained in quantitative yields after 0.5 h at -23 'C and also compound 7c, which had been obtained in 95.5 % yield after 161 h using method C, was obtained in quantitative yield after 4.5 h. Moreover, the regioisomeric purities of these alanes were comparable or higher than those obtained using the standard protocols (methods A **- C).**

Entry	1-Alkyne		Method $^{a)}$	Reaction time / Temperature	Product	Yield	Regioisom. purity
	5	R		$(h/^{\circ}C)$	7	$(\%)^{b)}$	(%)
\boldsymbol{l}	5а	n -C ₄ H ₉	A	21/20	7а	100	96
\overline{c}	5a	$n - C_4$ H ₉	C	22/20	7а	100	96
\mathfrak{z}	5d	TBDMSO(CH ₂) ₃	B	7/20	7d	97	93
4	5b	$n - C_6H_{13}$	C	16/20	7b	100	96
5	5c	C_6H_5	$\mathbf C$	161/20	7с	96	96
6	5d	TBDMSO(CH2)3	C	41/20	7d	98	94
$\overline{}$	5 e	1-cyclohexenyl	C	40/20	7e	98	96
8	5b	$n - C_6H_{13}$	D	$0.5/-23$	7b	100	97
9	5c	C_6H_5	D	$4.5/-23$	7c	100	98
10	5e	1-cyclohexenyl	D	$0.5/-23$	7е	100	97
11	5f	$4-F-C_6H_4CH_2$	D	$0.5/-23$	7 f	100	98

T a b $1 \text{ e } 1$. Zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of 1-alkynes (5)

^{a)} Method A: 1.0 equiv of 5, 1.0 equiv of Cp₂ZrCl₂ and 2.0 Equiv of Me₃Al in ClCH₂CH₂CI at room temperature; Method B: 1.0 equiv of 5, 0.51 equiv of Cp₂ZrCl₂ and 2.0 equiv of Me₃AI in CICH₂CH₂Cl at room temperature; Method C: 1.0 equiv of **5.** 0.32 equiv of Cp₂ZrCl₂ and 2.78 equiv of Me₃Al in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature (for details, see: Experimental); Method D: 1.0 equiv of 5, 0.22 equiv of Cp₂ZrCl₂, 3.1 equiv of Me₃Al and 1.55 equiv of H₂O in CH₂Cl₂ at -23 °C (for details, see: Experimental). ^{b)} Evaluated by GLC of the protolysis products.

Several procedures (methods F - L) were then tested to convert organoalanes **7a-f so** prepared into the

corresponding (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyltrimethylstannanes 4a-f [eq. (2)].

$$
R \longrightarrow A1 Me_2
$$

\n
$$
A1 Me_2
$$

\n
$$
R \longrightarrow A1 Me_2
$$

\n
$$
R \longrightarrow A1
$$

\n
$$
H
$$

\n
$$
R \longrightarrow A1
$$

\n
$$
H
$$

\n
$$
R \longrightarrow A1
$$

\n
$$
H
$$

\n
$$
R \longrightarrow A1
$$

\n
$$
H
$$

\n
$$
R \longrightarrow A1
$$

\n
$$
H
$$

\n
$$
R \longrightarrow A1
$$

\n
$$
H
$$

\n
$$
R \longrightarrow A1
$$

\n
$$
H
$$

\n
$$
R \longrightarrow A1
$$

\n<math display="block</math>

The results obtained using these different protocols as well as that obtained in an attempt to prepare (E) -2-methyl-1-hexenyltributylstannane (8a) from the corresponding organoalane **7a** are summarized in Table 2.

A preliminary experiment involving (E)-2-methyl-1-octenyldimethylalane **(7d)** was carried out using a protocol similar to that reported for the direct transmetallation of (E) -1-alkenyldiisobutylalanes with $Me₃SnCl²⁵$ (method E). Thus, the reaction mixture, which derived from the methylalumination of 5d according to method B, was concentrated *in vacua* and the residue, which was diluted with toluene, hexane and THF was treated at -23 °C with a THF solution of 3.2 equiv of LiCl and 3.2 equiv of Bu₃SnCl and stirred for 30 h at 20 °C and for 4 h at 50 °C (entry 1, Table 2). However, this reaction did not provide compound 8a, but gave methyltributylstannane (9) in 65 % yield.

A quite unsatisfactory result was also obtained when the reaction mixture, which derived from the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of **5b** according to method C, was concentrated *in vacua, the* residue was cooled to -60 °C, diluted with CH₂Cl₂, treated at -23 °C with 3.0 equiv of Me₃SnCl in THF solution and the mixture was stirred for 21 h at -23 "C, for 23 h at room temperature and for 24 h under reflux (method F). In fact, this reaction gave the desired organostannane **4b** in 28 % GLC yield (entry 2, Table 2).

On the other hand, a significative improvement of the yield of the transmetaIlation reaction was obtained when alkenylalanes 5 were converted into the corresponding alkenylmethylalanates **10** prior reaction with $Me₃SnCl$ [eq. (3)] (entries $5 - 8$, Table 2).

$$
R \longrightarrow AIMe_2
$$

\n
$$
AIMe_2
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1) - CICH_2CH_2Cl}{2) THF, -78°C} R \longrightarrow AIMe_3
$$

\n
$$
R \longrightarrow AIMe_3
$$

\n
$$
Li
$$

\n
$$
I_1
$$

\n(3)

In fact, when the reaction mixtures, which derived from the carboalumination of **5b,** 5c, **5d** and 5e according to method C, were concentrated *in vacua,* cooled to -78 "C, diluted with THF and treated with 1.1 equiv of methyllithium in Et₂O and the alanates so obtained were reacted with 2.53 equiv of Me₃SnCl in THF

Table 2. Synthesis of (E) -2-methyl-1-alkenyltrialkylstannanes 4a-f and 8a from the corresponding (E) -2-methyl-1-alkenyl-T a b 1 e **2 .** Synthesis of (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyltrialkylstannanes 4a-f and **Sa** from the corresponding (E)-Zmethyl-l-alkenyldimethylalanes 7a-f dimethylalanes 7a-f

 (E) -2-Methyl-1-alkenyltrimethylstannanes

a THF solution of Me₂SnI. ^{8/} The crude reaction mixture contained 2a and 4-iodo-1-butanol (12) in cu. 5.9 :1 molar ratio, respectively. ^{''} Compound 4b was obtained together

a THF solution of Me₃SnI. ⁸¹ The crude reaction mixture contained 2a and 4-iodo-1-butanol (12) in ca. 5.9:1 molar ratio, respectively. ^h Compound 4b was obtained together

with iodoalcohol 12, which was isolated in 17 % yield. " Reaction carried out using an Et₂O solution of Me₃Snl.

with iodoalcohol 12, which was isolated in 17 % yield. ⁱ⁾ Reaction carried out using an Et₂O solution of Me₂Snl.

solution for 15 - 39 h under reflux (method G), compounds 4b, 4c, **4d** and 4e were obtained in 70,54,61 and 64 % isolated yields, respectively.

The improvement of the yields was not surprising, since it has been previously reported that the reactivity of alkenylalanes towards electrophiles is enhanced by their conversion into the corresponding alkenylalanates26. On the other hand, the fact that compounds **4b,** 4c, **4d** and 4e had regioisomeric purities higher than those of the corresponding alkenylalanes **7b,** 7c, **7d** and 7e, respectively, could be explained on the basis of the expected higher reactivity of these last compounds in comparison with that of their more hindered regioisomers **llb, llc, lld** and **lle,** respectively.

\n
$$
A\begin{array}{c}\n\mathsf{AIME}_2 \\
\mathsf{CH}_3\n\end{array}
$$
\n

\n\n
$$
H
$$
\n

\n\n
$$
H = n - C_6 H_{13}
$$
\n

\n\n
$$
H = 110 : R = TBDMSO(CH_2)_3
$$
\n

\n\n
$$
H = 1 - \text{cyclohexeny}
$$
\n

\n\n
$$
I = 2
$$
\n

On the contrary, quite surprising was the fact that, whereas compounds 4b and 4d had stereoisomeric purities higher than 95 %, compounds 4c and 4e were 82 and 80 % stereoisomerically pure.

We also attempted to improve the yields of method G using iodotrialkylstannanes instead of the corresponding chlorotrialkylstannanes. Nevertheless, the results obtained using this new procedure (method H) were not completely satisfactory. In fact, when a THF solution of alanate **10a** (R = n-Bu) was treated at -78 °C with 1.5 equiv of Bu₃SnI in THF solution and the mixture was warmed up to 0 °C for 2.5 h and then stirred at room temperature for 15.5 h, a crude reaction mixture containing methyltributylstannane (9) , (E) -2methyl-1-hexenyltributylstannane (8a) and unreacted Bu₃SnI was obtained. This mixture was then treated with a large excess of a semisaturated aqueous KF solution, filtered, extracted with $Et₂O$ and the organic extract was purified by MPLC on silica gel to give compounds 9 and 8a in 45 and 14 % isolated yields, respectively (entry 3, Table 2). On the other hand, when alanate lfla prepared from 5a according to method C was reacted with a THF solution of 1.67 equiv of Me₃SnI (method I) using reaction conditions similar to those employed for entry 3, a crude reaction mixture containing (E) -2-methyl-1-hexenyltrimethylstannane (4a) and 4-iodo-1butanol (12) in a *ca.* 5.9 : 1 molar ratio, respectively, was obtained. Purification of this mixture by distillation allowed to isolate compound 4a in 51 % yield (entry 4, Table 2).

Unfortunately, when a similar procedure involving the use of 2.53 equiv of Me₃SnI was employed for the synthesis of (E)-2-methyl-1-octenyltrimethylstannane **(4b),** compounds **4b** and **12** were obtained in quite low yields (entry 9, Table 2).

It must be noted that compound 12 did not derive from a direct reaction between MegSnI and THF. ln fact, a THF solution of this organostannane proved to be stable when maintained for 56 h at room temperature. However, since compound 12 very probably derived from a ring-opening reaction of THF or a its complex during the reaction which afforded compound 4b, in the hopes of improving the yield of this last compound and in order to prevent the formation of 12 we carried out the transmetalation between compound 8b and MegSnI in Et20 solution. Nevertheless, under these reaction conditions compound **lob** was proved to be

unable to react with Me₃SnI also using very long reaction times (entry 10, Table 2).

Finally, we developed a simpler and quite efficient protocol to convert (E) -2-methyl-1alkenyldimethylalanes 7 into the corresponding organostannanes 4 which avoided the transformation of compounds 7 into the corresponding alanates 10 and afforded compounds 4 having regioisomeric purities which ranged from 95.0 to 100 % and stereoisomeric purities which ranged from 98 to 100 %. This protocol (method L) involved treatment of the reaction mixtures, which derived from the carboalumination of the lalkynes 5 according to method D, with a THF solution of 2.5 equiv of Me₃SnCl at -23 $^{\circ}$ C and stirring the resulting reaction mixtures at temperatures which ranged from 0 to 20 "C (entries **1 1 -** 14, Table 2). Purification by MPLC on reversed - phase of the crude reaction products, which were obtained starting from 1-alkynes Sb, SC, 5e and 5f, allowed to isolate compounds 4b, 4c, 4e and 4f in 48, 83, 57 and 74 8 yields, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, various procedures have been tested for the stereoselective synthesis of compounds 4 from the corresponding I-alkynes 5. The best one as regards simplicity and mildness as well as the stereoisomeric purities of compounds 4 is that based on the water-accelerated methylalumination of compounds 5, followed by treatment with a THF solution of Me₃SnCl. Such method is especially well suited for the preparation of (E) -2-aryl-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes, (E) -2-benzyl-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes and (E) -2-(1-cycloalkenyl)-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes. On the other hand, (E) -2alkyl-2-methylethenyltrimethylstannanes can be prepared in quite high yields and high regio- and stereoisomeric purities by a reaction sequence involving the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of the corresponding 1-alkynes according to a standard method (method C) and the conversion of the so obtained alkenylalanes into the corresponding methylalanates, followed by treatment with a THF solution of Me₃SnCl.

Applications of these procedures to the synthesis of some bioactive naturally-occurring products will be reported in due course.

EXPERIMENTAL

All boiling points are uncorrected. Precoated silica gel plates Merck F-254 and RP-18 F₂₅₄S were used for TLC analyses. GLC analyses were performed on a Dani 6500 gas-chromatograph with a PTV injector and equipped with a Dani data station 86.01. Two types of capillary columns were used: a SE-30 bonded FSGT column (30 m \times 0.25 mm i.d.) and a AT-35 bonded FSOT column (30 m \times 0.25 mm i.d.). Purifications by MPLC were performed on a Büchi instrument, using a Bischoff 8100 differential refractometer as detector. GLC/MS analyses were performed using a Q-mass 910 spectrometer interfaced with a Perkin-Elmer 8500 gaschromatograph. lH NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 200 MHz spectrometer using TMS as an internal standard.

All air and water sensitive reactions were performed in flame dried glassware under an atmosphere of

argon or nitrogen. Air and water sensitive solutions were transferred with hypodermic syringes or double ended needles. Solvents were dried and distilled before use.

I-Hexyne **(Sa),** 1-octyne **(Sb).** phenylacetylene (5c), I-ethynylcyclohexene (5e), and zirconocene dichloride were commercially available. 5-t-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-1-pentyne **(5d)** [b.p. 83-4 'C/13 Torr; lit²⁷ b.p. 65 °C/9 Torr] was synthetized in 87 % yield according to the literature²⁷ starting from commercially available 4-pentyn-1-ol. 3-(4'-Fluorophenyl)-1-propyne (5f) [b.p. 52 \textdegree C/52 Torr] was synthetized in 68 % overall yield starting from 4-fluorobenzyl chloride according to a general procedure for the synthesis of 3-(hetero)aryl-1-propynes28. The physical and spectral properties of this compound were in very good agreement with those previously reported 28 .

Procedures for the synthesis of (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyldimethylalanes 7a-f

Four different protocols (methods A-D) were used for ther preparation of the title compounds. The results obtained are summarixed in Table 1.

Method A. To a stirred suspension of Cp₂ZrCl₂ (5.85 g, 20.0 mmol) in dry 1,2-dichloroethane (47 ml) was added a 2 M hexane solution of Me₃Al (20 ml, 40 mmol) under argon at room temperature. After few minutes a solution of an alkyne 5 (20.0 mmol) in dry 1,2-dichloroethane (30 ml) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for the period of time reported in Table 1. The reaction was periodically monitored by GLC/MS of its samples hydrolyzed with a dilute and cold aqueous HCl solution under argon.

Method B. This method, which was used for the preparation of compound **7d** (entry 3, Table 1). was very similar to method A, but the $5/Cp_2ZrCl_2/AlMe_3$ molar ratio was $1: 0.51 : 2.0$ instead of $1.0 : 1.0 : 2.0$. Compound **7d,** which was so obtained in 97 % yield after 7 h at 20 'C (as evaluated by GLC/MS analysis of an hydrolyzed sample of the reaction mixture), had *93 8* regioisomeric purity.

Method C. This method, which was used for the preparation of compounds **7a, 7b,** 7c, 7d, and 7e, was very similar to method A, but the $5/Cp_2ZrCl_2/AlMe_3$ molar ratio was $1:0.32:2.78$. Moreover, AlMe₃ and 5 were sequentially added to a suspension of Cp_2ZrCl_2 in 1,2 dichloroethane maintained at 0 °C. The resulting solution was then stirred at room temperature for the period of time reported in Table 1. The yields and regioisomeric purities of compounds **7a-c** are also reported in this table (entries 4-7).

Method D. A 2 M hexane solution of AlMe₃ (12.4 ml, 24.8 mmol) was added to a stirred suspension of Cp₂ZrCl₂ (0.51 g, 1.76 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml) cooled to 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes and then cooled to -23 °C. Water (0.22 ml, 12.4 mmol) was cautiously added under vigorous stirring. After 10 minutes a solution of the 1-alkyne (8.0 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (13 ml) was slowly added and the mixture was stirred at -23 'C for the period of time reported in Table 1. Compounds 7b, 7c, 7e and **7f** were so obtained in quantitative yields. They had 97, 98, 97 and 98 % regioisomeric purities, respectively (entries 8 - 11, Table 1).

Procedures for the preparation of (E)-2-methyl-1-alkenyltrialkylstannanes of general formula 4 and 8

Six different protocols (methods E - L) were tested for the preparation of the title compounds.

Method E. This method, which was used in an attempt to prepare (E)-5-t-butyldimethylsilyloxy-2methyl-1-pentenyltributylstannane **(8d)** (entry 1, Table 2), involved removal *in vacua* of the solvent of the reaction mixture obtained in the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of S-t-butyldimethylsilyloxy-lpentyne **(5d)** (4.16 g, 21.0 mmol) according to method B. The residue was diluted with toluene (42'ml), hexane (84 ml) and THF (84 ml) and the resulting mixture was cooled to -23 °C. A solution of Bu₃SnCl (21.59 g, 66.3 mmol) and LiCl(2.81 g, 66.3 mmol) in THF (72 ml) was dropwise added and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 30 h at 20 °C and for 4 h at 50 °C. After this period the reaction mixture, which had been periodically monitored by GLC analysis, was quenched into a cold solution of saturated NH₄Cl and extracted with Et₂O. The organic extract was washed with a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution, dried, filtered on Celite and concentrated *in vacua The* residue was purified by MPLC on silica gel using hexane as eluant to give methyltributylstannane (9) (13.16 g, 65 % yield).

Method F. This method was used for the preparation of (E)-2-methyl-1-octenyltrimethylstannane **(4b)** (entry 2, Table 2). In particular, the reaction mixture, which was obtained by the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of 1-octyne **(5b)** (1.32 g, 12.0 mmol) according to method C, was concentrated *in vacua* to remove the solvent as well as unreacted Me₃Al. The residue was cooled at -60 $^{\circ}$ C and then diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (75 ml) and the mixture was warmed up to -23 °C. A solution of Me₃SnCl (7.17 g, 36.0 mmol) in THF (36 ml) was added and the mixture was stirred for 21 h at -23 °C, 24 h at 20 °C and for 24 h under reflux. It was then cooled to room temperature, slowly added to a large excess of a 10 % aqueous NH₄OH solution cooled to -10 "C and extracted with hexane. The organic extract was filtered, washed with a 10 % aqueous NH40H solution, dried, filtered and concentrated at reduced pressure. GLC/MS analysis of the residue showed the presence of a new compound, which was subsequently identified as **4b** by comparison with an authentic sample of this substance prepared according to method L. Compound 4b was obtained in 28 % GLC yield.

Method G. This method was used for the preparation of compounds **4b,** 4c, **4d** and 4e (entries 5 - 8, Table 2). Thus, the reaction mixtures, which derived from the zirconium-catalyzed methylalumination of compounds **Sb, SC, 5d** and Se (23.0 mmol) according to method C, were concentrated *in vacua* and the residues were cooled to -78 °C, diluted with THF (70 ml) and stirred for 10 minutes. A 1.93 M Et₂O solution of methyllithium (13.1 ml, 25.3 mmol) was dropwise added to the yellow solutions so obtained, which were maintained at 0 'C. The resulting mixtures were stirred for 10 minutes at this temperature, for 3 h at room temperature and then cooled to -78 °C. A solution of Me₃SnCl (11.6 g, 58.2 mmol) in THF (56 ml) was added dropwise and the mixtures were stirred at the temperatures and for the period of time reported in Table 2 (entries 5 - 8). They were then cooled to room temperature and slowly added to a large excess of a 10 % aqueous NH₄OH solution cooled to -10 $^{\circ}$ C. The mixtures were extracted with hexane and the organic extracts were filtered on Celite, dried, filtered and the filtrates were concentrated in *vacua. The* residue obtained in the preparation of compound **4b** starting from **5b** was purified by fractional distillation, but those obtained in the

 \mathcal{L}^{max}

preparations of compounds 4c, 4d and 4e were purified by MPLC on LiChroprep RP-18 (25 - 40 μ m) using acetonitrile as eluant. Yields and regio- and stereoisomeric purities of compounds **4b,** 4c, **4d** and 4e so obtained are reported in Table 2. The regio- and stereoisomeric purities were evaluated by GLC/MS analysis and were confirmed by 1 H NMR analysis.

Method H. This method was employed for the synthesis of (E) -2-mehyl-1-hexenyltributylstannane @a) (entry 3, Table 2). In particular, the reaction mixture, which derived from the methylalumination of 5a (1.64 g, 20.0 mmol) according to method A, was concentrated *in vucuo* and the residue was cooled to -78 'C and diluted with THF (60 ml). A 1.72 M Et₂O solution of methyllithium (11.6 ml, 20.0 mmol) was dropwise added to the solution so obtained, which was maintained at 0° C, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature and then cooled to -78 °C. A solution of Bu₃SnI (12.51 g, 30.0 mmol) in THF (30 ml) was dropwise added and the mixture was warmed up to 0° C within 2.5 h and then stirred at room temperature for 15.5 h. After this period the mixture was cooled to 0 $^{\circ}$ C, cautiously quenched with a large excess of a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution and extracted with Et₂O. The organic extract was filtered on Celite, washed with a saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution and water, dried filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue, which was analyzed by GLC/MS, was diluted with Et₂O (115 ml) and stirred with a large excess of a semisaturated aqueous KF solution (225 ml) for 2.5 h. The mixture was filtered and extracted with Et₂O and the organic extract was dried and concentrated *in vacua.* The residue was purified by MPLC on LiChroprep RP-18 (25 - 40 µm), using a mixture of acetonitrile and CH₂Cl₂ (9 : 1 v/v) as eluant, to give compound 8a (1.08 g, 14 % yield).

Method I. This method, which was used for the preparation of compounds 4a and 4b (entries 4 and 9, Table 2), was quite similar to method H, but Me₃SnI (1.67 equiv) was used as stannylating agent. In particular, the reaction mixtures, which derived from the methylalumination of compounds 5a and 5b (25.0 mmol) according to method C, were concentrated *in vacua* and the residues were cooled to -78 'C and diluted with THF (75 ml). A 1.89 M Et₂O solution of methyllithium (13.2 ml, 25.0 mmol) was added to the solutions so obtained, which were maintained at 0° C, and the resulting mixtures were stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then cooled to -78 °C. A solution of Me₃SnI (12.12 g, 41.7 mmol) in THF (40 ml) was dropwise added and the mixtures were stirred at the temperature and for the period of time reported in Table 2. They were then cooled to -30 °C and quenched with methanol (5 ml) and subsequently treated with a solution of saturated NH_4Cl/NH_4OH (9 : 1 v/v). The resulting mixtures were extracted with hexane and the organic extracts were washed with water, dried, filtered and concentrated at reduced pressure. GLC/MS analysis of the residues showed that each of them was constituted of the desired organostannane and a compound subsequently identified as 4-iodo-1-butanol (12). In particular, the residue obtained in the preparation of compound 4a, contained compounds 4a and 12 in a 5.9 : 1 molar ratio, respectively. Compound 12, which was identified by comparison with an authentic sample of 4-iodo- 1-butanol prepared by reaction of commercially available 4 chloro- 1-butanol with a molar excess of LiI in acetone solution, was insoluble in crude compounds 4a and 4b and therefore it was easily separated from these organostannanes. Compound 12 was obtained in 17 % yield in the preparation of compound 4b. On the other hand, compounds 4a $(3.33 \text{ g}, 51 \text{ % yield})$ and 4b $(1.19 \text{ g},$

17 96 yield) having high tegio- and stereoisomeric purities, were obtained by fractional distillation of the residues obtained from the corresponding preparations after their purification from compound **12** (entries 4 and 9, Table 2).

It must be noted that in an attempt to prepare compound 4b by this procedure, but using Et₂O instead of THF as reaction solvent (entry 10, Table 2), we observed that methylaluminate **lob** involved in this preparation was unable to react with Me₃SnI also using very long reaction times.

Method L. This method was used for the preparation of compounds **4b,** 4c, 4e and **4f** (entries **11 -** 14, Table 2). In particular, the reaction mixtures, which derived from the methylalumination of compounds **5b, 5c, 5e** and **5f** (11 .O mmol) according to method D, were maintained under stirring at -23 'C while a solution of MegSnCl (5.48 g, 27.5 mmol) in THF (28 ml) was added dropwise. The resulting mixtures were stirred at the temperature and for the period of time reported in Table 2, then cautiously added to a 10 % aqueous NH₄OH solution (100 ml) cooled to -20 °C, and extracted with hexane. The organic extracts were filtered, washed with 10 % aqueous NH40H solution, dried, filtered and concentrated at reduced pressure. The residues, which were analyzed by TLC and GLC/MS, were purified by MPLC on LiChroprep RP-18 (25-40 pm) using acetonitrile as eluant. Yields, regio- and steteoisomeric purities of compounds **4b.** 4c, 4e and **4f so** obtained are reported in Table 2.

Some physical and spectroscopic properties of compounds **4a-f, Sa** and 9 prepared by these methods are reported below.

(E)-2-Methyl-I-hexenyltrimethylstannane (4a). B.p. 90- 91 "C/15 Torr. MS, m/z (%): 247 (61), 245 (47), 151 (30), 135 (33), 55 (63), 41 (100). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 5.45 (1 H, s, $^2J_{\text{Sn-H}}$ = 82 Hz, H-1), 2.12 (2 H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, H-3), 1.63 (3 H, s, =C-CH₃), 1.54 - 1.18 (4 H, m, H-4 and H-5), 0.90 (3 H, t, J $= 7.1$ Hz, H-6), 0.13 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe₃). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₀H₂₂Sn: C, 46.02; H, 8.50. Found: C, 46.58; H, 8.56.

(E)-2-Methyl-I-octenyltrimethylstannane (4b). B.p. 107 °C/5 Torr. MS, m/z (%): 275 (42), 273 (31), 151 (31), 135 (45), 55 (39), 41 (100). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 5.45 (1 H, s, $^{2}J_{\text{Sn-H}}$ = 82 Hz, H-1), 2.11 (2 H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, H-3), 1.76 (3 H, s, =C-CH₃), 1.52 - 1.15 (8 H, m, H-4, H-5, H-6 and H-7), 0.88 (3 H, t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, H-8), 0.13 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe₃). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₂₆Sn: C, 49.87; H, 9.07. Found: C, 49.69; H, 9.21.

(E)-2-Phenyl-I-propenyltrimethylstannane (4~). MS, m/z (%): 267 (loo), 265 @Xl), 227 (32), 197 (37), 135 (35), 117 (22). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 7.50 -7.10 (5 H, m, C₆H₅), 6.26 (1 H, s, ${}^{2}J_{\rm Sn-H}$ = 71 Hz, H-1), 2.22 (3 H, s, H-3), 0.23 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe3). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{12}H_{18}Sn$: C, 51.30; H, 6.46. Found: C, 51.69; H, 6.83.

(Z)-2-Phenyl-I -propenyltrimethylstannane (4~): MS, mlz (96): 267 (92), 266 (94), 265 (72). 117 (26), 115 (41), 39 (100). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 7.50 - 7.10 (5 H, m, C₆H₅), 5. 89 (1 H, q, J = 1.3 Hz, H- 1), 2.25 (3 H, d, $J = 1.3$ Hz, $=$ C-CH₃), -0.14 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe₃).

(E)-2-Methyl-5-t-butyldimethylsilyloxy-l-pentenyltrimethylstannane (4d). MS, m/z (%) : 363 (7), 321 (4), 165 (100), 135 (23), 81 (21), 59 (31). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ: 5.48 (1 H, s, $2J_{\text{Sn-H}} = 81$ Hz, H-1), 3.60 $(2 H, t, J = 6.6 Hz, H-5)$, 2.16 $(2 H, t, J = 7.5 Hz, H-3)$, 1.77 $(3 H, s, =C-CH₃)$, 1.81 - 1.48 $(2 H, m, H-5)$ 4), 0.90 (9 H, s, t-Bu), 0.13 (9 H, s, SnMe₃), 0.05 ppm (6 H, s SiMe₂). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₅H₃₄OSiSn: C, 47.76; H, 9.09. Found: C, 47.49; H, 9.39.

(E~-2-(I'-Cyclohexenyl)-l-propenyltrimethylstannane (48). MS, *m/z (96):* 271 (45). 269 (37), 121 (21). 93 (27), 55 (23), 41 (100). lH NMR (CDC13). 6: 5.97 - 5.87 (1 H, m, H-2'), 5.88 (1 H, s, H-l), 2.29 - 2.10 (4 H. m. H-3' and H-6'). 1.97 (3 H, s, =C-CH3). 1.75 - 1.48 (4 H, m, H-4' and H-S), 0.17 ppm *(9* H, s, SnMe₃). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₂₂Sn: C, 50.57; H, 7.78. Found: C, 50.72; H, 8.20.

(E)-2-Methyl-3-f4'-~uorophenyl)-I-propenyltrimethylstannane (4f). MS, *m/z (%):* 299 (72), 297 (55), 165 (41), 149 (100), 135 (75), 109 (87). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ: 7.20 - 7.05 (2 H, m, H-2' and H-6'), 7.02 - 6.85 (2 H, m, H-3' and H-5'), 5.49 (1 H, s, H-1), 3.39 (2 H, s, H-3), 1.72 (3 H, s, =C-CH₃), 0.14 ppm (9 H, s, SnMe₃). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₉FSn: C, 49.89; H, 6.12. Found: C, 49.78; H, 6.56.

fE)-2-Methyl-I-hexenyltributylstannane (8~). MS, m/z (95): 331 (22), 329 (16), 219 (57), 121 (35), 55 (21), 41 (100). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 5.42 (1 H, br s, $^{2}J_{\text{Sn-H}}$ = 75 Hz, H-1), 2.13 (2 H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-3), 1.74 (3 H, S, =C-CH3), 1.60 - 1.15 (16 H, m, H-4, H-5, H-2' and H-3'), 0.98 - 0.70 ppm (18 H, m, H-6, H-1' and H-4'). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{19}H_{40}Sn$: C, 58.93; H, 10.41. Found:C, 59.12; H, 10.78.

Methyltributylstannane (9): B.p. 120 "C/10 Ton. MS, m/z (%): 291 (l), 269 (54), 213 (33), 155 (41), 57 (42), 41 (100). 'H NMR (CDCI3), 6: 1.67 - 1.17 (12 H, m, H-2' and H-3'), 0.98 - 0.62 (15 H, m, H-l' and H-4'), -0.03 ppm (3 H, s, CH₃). Lit²⁹ b.p. 122 - 124 °C/12 Torr.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR, Roma), Progetto Finalizzato *Chimica Fine* and the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (MURST) for funding this work.

REFERENCES

- I. Pereyre, M.; Quintard, J. -P.; Rahm, A. *Tin in Organic Synthesis,* Butterworths, London, 1986.
- 2. For reviews, see: *a)* Stille, J. K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engf. 1986,25, 508; b)* Mitchell, T. N. *Synthesis 1992, 803.*
- *3. a)* Parrain, J.-L.; Duchene, A.; Quintard, J.-P. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1990,31, 1857; b) Yamazaki, S.;* Hama, M.; Yamabe, S. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1990,31, 2917; c)* Jarosz, S. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1988,* 29, 1193; d) Seyferth, D.; Weiner, M. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, 83, 3583.
- 4. a) Behling, J. R.; Babiak, K. A.; Ng, J. S.; Campbell, A. L.; Moretti, R.; Koemer, M.; Lipshutz, B. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2641; b) Corey, E. J., Uhlrich, P.; Fitzpatrick, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 222.
- 5. Corey, E. J.; E&rich, T. M. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1984.25, 2419.*
- *6.* Barbero, A.; Cuadrado, P.; Fleming, I.; Gonzalez, A. M.; Pulido, F. J. *J. Chem. Sot. Chem.* Commun. 1992, 351.
- 7. Westmijze, H.; Ruitenberg, K.; Meijer, J.; Vermeer, P. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1982.23.2797.*
- 8. Seitz, D. E.; Lee, S. H. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1981,22,4909.*
- *9.* Lipshutz, B. H.; Keil, R.; Barton, J. C. *Tetrahedron L&f. 1992,33, 5861.*
- *10.* Corey, E. J.; E&rich, T. M. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1984,25,2415.*
- *11. Cotiu,* R. J. P.; Geng, B.; Moreau, J. J. E. *J. Org. Chem.* 1993,58, 1443.
- 12. Hibino, J.; Matsubara, S.; Morizawa, Y.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, 25, 2151.
- 13. Hodgson, D. M. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1992,33, 5603.*
- *14.* Chong, J. M.; Park, S. B. *J. Org. Chem.* 1993,58, 523.
- *15.* Not&a, T.; Okuda, Y.; Matsubara, S.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H. *J. Org. Chem.* 1986, *51,* 4716.
- 16. Jung, M. E.; Light, L. A. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1982,23, 3851.*
- *17.* Corriu, R. J. P., Bolin, G.; Moreau, J.J.E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, 32, 4121.
- *18.* Parrain, J.-L.; Beaudet, I.; Duchene, A.; Watrelot, S.; Quintard, J. -P. *Tetrahedron Len. 1993,34, 5445.*
- *19.* Han, Q.; Wiemer, D. F. *J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1992,114, 7692.*
- *20.* For reviews on the carboalumination of 1-alkynes, see: a) Knochel, P. in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I.; Semmelhack, M. F. Eds., Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, vol 4,* p. *865; b)* Negishi, E.; Takahashi, T. *Synthesis 1988,* 1; c) Negishi, E. *Act. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 65; d)* Negishi, E.; Takahashi, T. *Aldrichimica Acta 1985.18, 31; e)* Zfeifel, G.; Miller, J. A. *Org. React.* 1984,32, 375; f) Normant, J. F.; Alexakis, A. *Synthesis 1981, 841.*
- *21.* Westmijize, H.; Meijer, J.; Bos, H. J. T.; Vermeer, P. *Reck Truv. Chim. Pays-Bus 1976,95, 304.*
- *22.* Marfat, A.; MC Guirk, P. R.; Helquist, P. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 1363.*
- *23.* Negishi, E.; Van Horn, D. E.; Yoshida, *T. J. Am.* Chem. Sot. 1985,107, 6639.
- 24. Wipf, P.; Lim, S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* Engl. 1993.32, 1068.
- 25. Groh, B. L. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1991,32,7647.*
- *26. a)* Zweifel, G.; Lynd, R. A. *Synthesis 1976, 625; b)* Kobayashi, M.; Valente, L. F.; Negishi, E.

Synthesis 1980, 1034; c) Rossi. R.; Carpita, A.; Bellina, F.; De Santis. M.; Veracini, C. A. *Gazz.* Chim. *Ital.* 1991, 120, 457; *d)* Sharma, S.; Gehlschlager, A. C. *J. Org.* Chem. 1989,54, 5064; e) Groh, B. L.; Kreager, A. K.; Schneider, J. B. *Synth.* Commun. 1991,21, 2065.

- 27. Lin, C. H.; Alexander, D. L. *J. Org. Chem.* 1982.47, 615.
- 28. Rossi, R.; Carpita, A.; Lippolis, V.; Benetti, M. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* 1990,120, *783.*
- *29.* Ingharn, R. K.; Rosenberg, S. D.; Gilman, H. *Chem. Rev. 1960,50, 459.*

(Received in UK 10 *January* 1994; *revised* 18 *February* 1994; *accepted 25 February* 1994)